

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/531,003	06/01/2005	Johan Sundstrom	551-002-2	9107
4955	7590 06/22/2006		EXAMINER	
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS &			MCNELIS, KATHLEEN A	
ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224			1742	
MONROE,	CT 06468		DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- 1		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>-</u>		
		10/531,003	SUNDSTROM, JOHAN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Kathleen A. McNelis	1742			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Sectors</u>	eptember 2005.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 30-78 is/are pending in the application	n.				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 30-78 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		:_			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau	•	su iii tiiis National Stage			
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed			
	oce the attached detailed emoc detail for a not	or the defining copies her reserve				
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
- =	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1742

Claims Status

Claims 1-29 were canceled. New claims 30-78 are presented for examination.

Status of Previous Restriction

The previous restriction is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claims dated 9/2/2005.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 30-55, drawn to a process for manufacturing an iron or steel powder where an iron based raw material is nitrided with ammonia gas, milled to desried size then denitrided to a fine iron or steel powder.
- Group II, claim 56, drawn to a fine powder produced according to the method of claim 30.
- Group III, claims 57-78, drawn to a plant for manufacturing an iron or steel powder where an iron based raw material is nitrided with ammonia gas, milled to desired size, then denitrided to a fine iron or steel powder.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1, because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: the inventive feature, manufacturing an iron or steel powder wherein an iron based raw material is nitrided with ammonia gas, milled to desired size, then denitrided to a fine iron or steel powder is known (see International Search Report; GB

Application/Control Number: 10/531,003

Art Unit: 1742

922,955). While the international search report was based on examination of now canceled claim 1, new claim 30 is essentially the same as canceled claim 1.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Bradley Adolphson on June 29, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen A. McNelis whose telephone number is 571- 272-3554. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,003 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROY KING ¹
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNGLOGY CENTER 1700